

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/648,612 | 08/26 | /2003 | John Garland | GARJ 101 4369 | |
| 7: | 590 | 03/02/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| DEAN A. CRAINE | | | | ESTRADA, ANGEL R | |
| Ste. 140 400-112th Ave | enue, NE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ellevue, WA 98004 | | | 2831 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/648,612 | GARLAND, JOHN | Ø. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Angel R. Estrada | 2831 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | i | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
|)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | • | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | ` ' | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-15 | 2. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | • | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ` | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| II. compared to the compared t | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , . | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) ite | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04/03. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Content and Trademade Office | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/648,612

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the

disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single

paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that

the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract

on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The

abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether

there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information

given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The

disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure

describes," etc (In line 1 "comprising").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 2, "said outer cover", lacks antecedent basis.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

Claim 7 line 3, What does "EMF" stands for? Is it for Electromagnetic field? There is no support in the specifications that mention what is EMF.

Claim 10 line 1, "are the same length", confusing. The same length of what?

Claim 11 line 2, "said lens", confusing and unclear, just one lens is mentioned in the preceding claims

Claim 11 line 2, "said tubular members", lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 11 line 2, what is EMF?

Claim 12 line 2, "each said lens" lacks antecedent basis. Just one lens is mentioned in the preceding claims.

Claim 15 line 2, "said flat shielding member", lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 16 line 2, "around each said lens", lacks antecedent basis. Just one lens is mentioned in the preceding claims.

Claims 2-6, 8, 9, 13,14 and 17-19 are included because of their dependency.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 20 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The primary reasons for the indication of the allowability of claims 1-20 are:

Regarding claims 1-19 is the inclusion therein in combination as currently claimed of the limitation of a cable having said shielding means including at least one

lens that exposes said conductors to each other and thereby reduces inductance in said conductor while maintaining a relative low capacitance.

Regarding claim 20 is the inclusion therein in combination as currently claimed of the limitation of a cable having said shielding means including two lens located at opposite ends of said cable that allows said conductors to contact to each other and thereby reduce inductance in said conductors while maintaining a relatively low capacitance.

These limitations are found in claims 1-20, and are neither disclosed nor taught by the prior art of record, alone or in combination.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 4. applicant's disclosure. Garland (US 5,929,374), Harman et al (US 5,274,270), Gabriel (US 6,545,213), Krabec et al (US 4,744,693), Schell et al (US 5,030,794), Naylor et al (US 5,491,299) and Mottine et al (US 6,147,309) a cable with shielding means.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Angel R. Estrada at telephone number (571) 272-1973. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 -5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext: 31. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/648,612 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ΑE

February 11, 2004

DEAN A. REICHARD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800